

SOCIETY FOR PREVENTION RESEARCH

2024 Annual Meeting

Abstract Review Criteria for Blind, Peer Review

1. Review Type: Quantitative rankings

2. Rank Scoring 5 point scale

- 1 = Very Weak
- 2 = Weak
- 3 = Average
- 4 = Strong
- 5 = Very Strong

3. Seven categories for review for individual papers and posters, organized paper symposia, organized poster forums, 20 x 20 presentations, technology demonstrations:

1. Interest	Topic will likely be of interest to attendees
2. Significance	Addresses an important problem in the field of prevention science; results could inform and advance the scientific knowledge base
3. Innovation	Presents novel methods, theoretical approaches, and new questions for prevention science
4. Rigor	Theory, methods, and analyses are coherent, logical, and appropriate to the question; provides clear scientific foundation, addresses sampling procedures, biases, size, missing data, uses reliable measures, addresses race/ethnicity/biological sex diversity
5. Communication of Content (Bias-free language)	Uses language that is sensitive to people's sex, race, ethnicity, age, physical condition, and many other categories in a way that does not discriminate and treats others fairly.
6. Health Equity	Presents health equity constructs, theories, and/or research evidence which can inform the design and development of equity-focused intervention approaches.
7. Overall Rank	Based on categories 1 – 6, what is the overall impact of the abstract on prevention science?

4. Weighting and Scoring:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Weight</u>	<u>Maximum Score per Category</u>
1. Interest	2	10
2. Significance	2	10
3. Innovation	3	15
4. Rigor	3	15
5. Bias-free language	2	10
6. Health Equity	2	10
7. Overall Rank	6	30

5. Score Interpretation

90 - 100	Exceptional
80 - 89	Very good
70 - 79	Good
60 - 69	Marginal
0 - 59	Poor